Journal of Turkish Studies vol. 3. 1979
The Conter for Middle Eastern Studies of
Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass

THE INITIAL FORMULAE
IN A COMMUNICATION OF A MONGOLIAN VICEROY
TO THE KING OF KOREA

Francis Woodman Cleaves (Harvard University)

The initial formulae of Mongolian rescripts and letters of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries have captivated the minds of scholars for many years. Władysław Kotwicz, 'Formules initiales des documents mongols aux XIII-e et XIV-e siècles,' was the first to deal with them comprehensively. Eric Voegelin, 'The Mongol Orders of Submission to European Powers, 1245-1255,' unaware of the article by Kotwicz, confined himself to formulae in a restricted number of documents, concerning which he reached some very convincing conclusions. Such initial formulae, we should observe, while reflecting certain basic features of their Turkish prototypes, are constant neither in structure nor content and reveal diachronic as well as synchronic variations and innovations which are attributable in part to geographical and institutional diversities of the imperium mongolicum.

Two documents in colloquial Chinese, communications in the nature of ultimata from the Mongolian Viceroy Sartay,⁵ delivered to the King⁶ of Korea in 1231 and 1232 respectively, are found in the

Koryo sa Region (Kao-li shih)⁷ 23.4r5-5vl and 23.6rl-7/4. At the beginning of the communication of 1231 we find initial formulae for which no precise parallels have hitherto been adduced. Although I propose to deal with both communications in full in a separate study, it has seemed preferable at this juncture to discuss first the initial formulae in that of 1231 and the problems they present.

The text of these formulae of Sartay's communication reads as follows (4r5-7):

T'ien-ti ch'i-li 天底氣力

T'ien tao-chiang-lai-ti yen-yü 天道 將來底言語

So-te pu-ch'iu-ti jen 所得不秋底人

Yu yen hsia-liao 有眼瞎了

Yu shou mei-liao 有 手 沒 了

Yu chiao-tzu ch'üeh-liao 有 脚 子 屬 了

Sheng-chih 聖旨

Murakami Shōji 村 上 正 二, ''Mōko raichō no honyaku 蒙 古 來 牒

の 載 言义 ''⁸ (''Translation of Mongolian Credentials in Goryeo-sa''), attempted complete transla-

Ame no chikara wo moteru あめの力ままてる

Amatsu kami no iute kitareru kotaba naru zo 👃 🗼 🕏 来をれる言葉なるで。
matsurowazaru hito wo e taru ni まっろはずる たるに、
ni wo sokone taru ari 眼 左 そこわたるあ te wo nakushi taru ari 手 女 なくしたる あり. ashi wo kizutsuketaru (bikko ni naru) ari 脚 左 傷 け た る (跛 に なる)あり to no seishi arishi wo motte, との聖旨ありし

["Having the Rescript of [which the text reads]:

'[These] are words which the Heavenly gods

Who possess Heavenly power have come speaking.

In respect of getting people who have not yet submitted,

There are some whose eyes [we] shall damage,

There are some whose hands [we] shall remove,

There are some whose legs [we] shall injure (shall cripple) . . . '.'']

Gari Ledyard, "Two Mongol Documents from the Koryo sa," 10 translated the communications into English. In his version the same text reads (p. 228):

Strength of Heaven! Words spoken from Heaven: those people we get who do not braid their hair(?) will have their eyes blinded, their hands removed, their legs crippled! Edict.

В. М. Серов [V. М. Serov], Поход Монголов в Корею 1231-1231 гг. и его последствия 11 ["The Expedition of the Mongols into Korea of the Years 1231-1232 and Its Consequences"], for his part, had occasion to translate the documents into Russian directly from Ledyard's translations, the communication of 1231 being found on pp. 143-144 and that of 1232 on pp. 151-152. Although he introduced an occasional innovation of his own, Serov seems to have followed Ledyard's translations slavishly and uncritically without any concern for the problems posed either by the English translations or by the original texts themselves. His translation of the text with which we are here concerned reads as follows (p. 143):

<< Эдикт хана гласит: << Силы неба! Слова, изущие с неба: люди, не заплетающие своих волос, ослепнут, их руки отнимутся, а ноги будут искалечено! >> . . . >>.

[<< The Edict of the xan says: << Forces of Heaven! Words, coming from Heaven: People, not</p> plaiting their hair, shall become blind, their hands shall be amputated, and the legs shall be crippled!>>]

汪

In the South-Korean translation of the communications in the Yökchu Koryö-sa

(I-chu Kao-li shih), 12 the text in question reads (p. 580, ll.9-11):

Hanul ŭi kiryŏk(氣 力) ŭl kajin

hanŭnim i naeryo ponaen malssum isinira

THE INITIAL FORMULAE

i tǔl ǔn nun i mŏn cha(者) ka ikko
son-i ttŏrŏjyŏ nagan cha(者) ka ikko
tari ka ssŏgŭn cha(者) ka issŏtta.
Kŭraesŏ sŏngji(聖旨) ka naeryŏsŏ....

[These] are the words which the Deity
Possessing the power of Heaven has sent down.
As we have captured those who do not submit,
As for these,
There were those whose eyes are blind,
And there were those whose hands are fallen away,
And there were those whose legs are rotten.
Therefore, the Rescript having come down,

Б. Сумьяабаатар [B. Sum'yaabaatar], "Солонгос туухийн сурвалж, монгмл хмйгээд монголчуудын тухай (2)"] ¹³ ["Solongos tüüxiin survalž, mongol xiigeed mongolčuudyn tuxai (2)"] ["On a Source of Korean History, Mongolia and the Mongolčuud (2)"], cited the text with which we are here concerned on page 214, the North-Korean translation of it on page 215, ¹⁴ and gave his Mongolian translation of the latter on page 217.

The North-Korean translation reads as follows (p. 215):

Vri ka ch'ŏnŭi lŭl pattŭrŏ kiryŏk ŭl paltong-hanŭn kŏs ini irŏni chŏrŏni mal man hamyŏnsŏ chagi ŭi chugyŏn ŭl ttokttok-hage naenoch'i mot-hanŭn saramŭn mach'i nun i issŏdo mot pogo sujok ŭl tugodo ssŭl chul morŭnŭn kŏt kwa kat'ŭn cha ida. Yojŏn e hwangje ŭi myŏngnyŏng ŭro >>

["Since, having received Heaven's intention (天意), we exercise[its] (發動) power (律力), as for the man who merely speaks in this way and in that way and cannot express his own (自己) opinion (主見) meticulously, he is the same as one (者) who, although he has eyes, cannot see and, although having hands and legs (手足), does not know how to use [them].

"Previously(前) by order(命 令) of the Emperor(皇 帝)...."]

Sum'yabaatar's translation of the latter reads as follows (p. 217):

Bid tengeriin iveeleer augaa xüčiig olson bögööd xaıı yaıı xooson yarixyg erxemlez dotor bodloo ül gargax xün bolvoos nüdteı bolove yum xarz es cadax. gar xölteı bolove tüünee xereglez ül cadaxtai utga negen bolai. üünii ömnö xaany zarligaar Salidai Xorciin cergiig ilgeesen yavdal bol....

["We have got power and might by the protection of Heaven and, if there be a person who prizes idle, empty conversation and does not express his inner thoughts, he is the same as one who, even though he have eyes, is not able to see anything; even though he have hands and feet, is not able to use [them].

"Before this, as for the fact that Salidai Xorči had sent the army by order of the Xaan, . . . "]

These introductory formulae present a number of problems, not the least of which is the exact tude of the text itself in the form in which it is found in the Koryŏ sa (Kao-li shih). It should be observe at the outset, however, that, with the exception of Ledyard and the South-Korean translator, all the translators—Japanese, Russian, North-Korean and Mongolian—have regarded the formulae as constituting in

themselves the text of a rescript or edict in the mistaken assumption that the term 里 旨 (sheng

chih) "rescript" which immediately follows the initial formulae closes rather than introduces the text of rescript. It would, perhaps, have been visually better, however, if Ledyard had used a colon (:) instead of period (.) after the word "Edict." by which he translated the term, if only to make it perfectly clear that refers to what follows and not what precedes, even though the initial formulae constitute the introductor part of the rescript as a whole. From his Russian translation—" Эдикт хана глас ит "["The Edict of the xan says"]—it is evident that Serov did not share Ledyard's opinion as to the manner in which this part of the communication is structured.

It is obvious from a perusal of the sundry translations that the scholars who have dealt with the formulae have been rebuffed by a number of apparent difficulties. Turning now to the initial formulae a such we find that they are essentially three in number—the third of the three consisting of a topic and three

comments. The first of the three are the words 天 底 氣 力 (T'ien-ti ch'i-li) "The Might o

Heaven.'' Ledyard¹⁵ regarded them as ''an abbreviated form'' of 長生天底氣力裏

(Ch'ang-sheng T'ien-ti ch'i-li-li) "In the Might of Everlasting Heaven," a translation of the Mongolian Möngke tngri-yin küčün-dür "In the Might of Everlasting Heaven." (Despite Ledyard's reference to Nichola Poppe, The Mongolian Monuments in hP^{t} ags-pa Script, pp. 67-68, n. 1a, for a discussion of the ways in which k'uč'undur/küčündur $\sim k$ üčün-dür has been translated, I cannot believe that the Mongolian suffix of the dativus-locativus in dur/-dür is to be comprehended in this instance as if it had the function of an instru

mental in -iyer. For the meaning of (li) in this case cf. Erich Haenisch, Untersuchungen über da

Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih, Die geheime Geschichte der Mongolen¹⁸ and Antoine Mostaert, C. I. C. M. et Franci Woodman Cleaves, Les Lettres de 1289 et 1305 des ilkhan Aryun et Öljeitü à Philippe le Bel, ¹⁹ where thi question is discussed in considerable detail.) In translating küčün-dür as ch'i-li-li ''in the might' the Chines rendered the Mongolian original verbatim and precisely. The notion here is not that an action is performed by the might of Heaven' in the sense of 'by means of the might of Heaven,' but rather 'in the might of Heaven' in the sense of 'in virtue of the might of Heaven,' just as we say 'in the name of' in English of in hoc signo 'in this standard' in Latin. It is a nuance of locution—a delicate nuance—which should be observed in translation into English, as, indeed, it was, with an occasional exception, into Chinese.

"The abbreviated form in this document," Ledyard observed, "is, as far as I can learn, the only such instance." Although he cited a passage from the Hei-Ta shih-lüeh 黑 華 略 [Sketch of the Affairs of the Black Tatars], 21 properly a passage from the pen of P'eng Ta-ya 彭 大 雅, 22 an important passage, which I prefer to translate (11r3-5): "As for their constant expression (其常 淡), it is always: T'o-cho ch'ang-sheng t'ien-ti ch'i-li huang-ti-ti fu-yin 托 着 長生天底魚力皇帝底福蔭'Relying on the Might of Everlasting Heaven

and the Fortune of the Emperor.' As for things which they wish to do, then they say: 'Heaven has caused

THE INITIAL FORMULAE

[them to be] thus.' As for things which have already been done by others, then they say, 'Let Heaven know (= decide).' There is never an item which they do not attribute to Heaven. From the Lord of the [down] to his people, there is no [instance in which it] is not so," he overlooked another passage in the same text, properly a passage by Hsü T'ing 徐 煌 ²³ in reference to the (p'ai) or plaques, that is, badges of authority worn on the belts of the Mongols, in which we read [= Uiγur] characters, which seldom go (15r1-2): "On the plaque are inscribed Hui-hui beyond such phrases as 長生天底 氣力 (Ch'ang-sheng T'ien-ti ch'i-li) '[In] the Might of Everlasting Heaven.''' While the latter example does, it is true, have the words 長 生 (Ch'angsheng) ("Everlasting"), it does not have the postposition \overline{R} (li) ("in"). In this respect it appears to be comparable to the formula as we find it in the communication of Sartay. We might even cite as another similarly abbreviated translation of the same Mongolian formula (Möngke tngri-yin küčün-dür) the words Dei fortitudo "The Might of God" with which the litterae certissimae atque verae of Güyüg to Innocent IV open,24 whereas a more literal Latin translation is Per virtutem eterni Dei "Through the virtue of the Eternal God' as it appears at the beginning of the preceptum of Möngke to Louis IX25 and Per potentiam Dei excelsi "Through the Power of God on High," at the beginning of the verba of Eljigidei to Louis IX.26 The exceptions to the rule notwithstanding, I am of the opinion that in the text of the communication in the Koryo sa (Kao-li shih) there is a scribal inadvertence—one of many in both communications, to which I shall have occasion to draw attention in due course—which was occasioned by the fact that, his (t'ien) ("Heaven") (4r5) with which the com-天 eye being inadvertently attracted to the character (li) ("in") or, possibly, 天 (t'ien) a second time for munication opens, the scribe wrote through an inadvertence occasioned by a distraction, copied the lower part of (t'ien). If so, this not only means that the communication in its original form opened with the words 天 底 氣 カ 裏 (T'ien-ti ch'i-li li)("In the Might of Heaven"), but also that the second formula, concerning which more shall be said below, is not 天道 将来底言語 M'ien tao-chiang-lai-ti yen-yü)''Words Which Heaven Speaks,'' but rather 道 將來底言 (Tao-chiang-lai-ti yen-yü) ("Words Which [We] Speak").

As for the formula *T'ien-ti ch'i-li-li* "In the Might of Heaven" as such, it would correspond to a **Mongolian** Tngri-yin küčün-dür "In the Might of Heaven," for an example of which cf. Francis Woodman Cleaves, "The Lingji of Aruγ of 1340."²⁷ Two examples of a slight variant of the formula are found in

Ts'ai Mei-piao 蔡 美 彪, Yüan-tai pai-hua-pei chi-lu 元 代 白 話 碑 集 錄
[Collected Records of Yüan Dynasty Pai-hua Stelae], 28 which respectively open with the formulae

天地底 魚力裏 (T'ien-ti-ti ch'i-li-li) "In the Might of Heaven and Earth" and 天地

的 東 力 哀 (T'ien-ti-ti ch'i-li-li) "In the Might of Heaven and Earth," both reflecting an unattested Mongolian Tngri γajar-un küčün-dür, which may be compared, however, with the words de'ere Tenggeri γajar-un ihe'el "protection of Heaven above and Earth [below]" in the Mongyol-un niuča [= ni uča] to[b] ča'an or The Secret History of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 即 之 29 6.25v3-5 as follows: Ene tusa-yin činu hači uruy-un uruy-a činu hači qari'ulyu-yi de'ere Tenggeri γajar-un ihe'el medetügei. "[As to] the benefit of this thy service, let the protection of Heaven above and Earth [below] know (= decide) how I shall return the benefit unto the seed of thy seed." The word de'ere is glossed 上 (shang) "above," tenggeri, 天 (t'ien) "Heaven," γajar-un, the bound of the compared however, with the word of the word of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 [In the William of the Wil

In 氣力 (ch'i-li) "might" we have the earlier, alternate form of the more usual, present-day 力 氣 (li-ch'i). As a translation of the Mongolian güčü(n) (~ küčü(n)) "might" in the Mongyol-un niuča [= ni'uča] to[b] ča'an or The Secret History of the Mongols \$260 cf. the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih, Hsü-chi 續其 1.45r3: tenggeri yajar-a güčü nemegdejü "[his] might being increased by Heaven and Earth." The word tenggeri is glossed 天 (t'ien) ("Heaven"), yajar-a, 地 行 (ti hang) "Earth by," güčü, 氣力 (ch'i-li) ("might"), nemegdejü 液 添 着 (pei-t'ien-cho) "being increased."

In 天底 (Tien-ti) ("of Heaven") we have the determinative suffix 底 (ti) which alternates, as we have just seen above, with 白勺 (ti) in the language of this and comparable documents. Cf., e.g., Ed. Chavannes, "Inscriptions et pièces de chancellerie chinoises de l'époque mongole," where we read (p. 369, n. 2): "le mot 底 est l'équivalent de 白勺 moderne." For other examples of its use in this communication cf. 4r6, 4v6, 5r2, 5r5, 5r6, 5r7-8, 5r8-9, and 5v1 below. For the solitary example of 白勺 (ti) in the communication cf. 5r8 below. For the usage of this determinative suffix in Chinese cf. Lü Hsiang 吕 湘, "Lun 'ti' 'ti' chih pien chi 'ti' tzu-ti yu-lai 論 「底」「地」之辨及「底」字的由來 ("Notes on the Etymology of the Particle 'te'"), 31 Kao Ming-k'ai 高名凱, "Han-yü kuei-ting-tz'u 'ti' 漢語規定 詞 '白勺'" ("La particule déterminative ti en chinois"); 32 Jaroslav průšek, "La fonction de la particule ti dans le chinois médiéval"; 33 and P. Demiéville, "Archaïsmes de prononciation en chinois vulgaire." 34

As for the second of the three formulae, the text has 天道将来底言語

的 東 力 裏 (T'ien-ti-ti ch'i-li-li) "In the Might of Heaven and Earth," both reflecting an unattested Mongolian Tngri γajar-un küčün-dür, which may be compared, however, with the words de'ere Tenggeri γajar-un ihe'el "protection of Heaven above and Earth [below]" in the Mongyol-un niuča [= ni uča] to[b] ča'an or The Secret History of the Mongols which reads in the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih 元 単 29 6.25v3-5 as follows: Ene tusa-yin činu hači uruγ-un uruγ-a činu hači qari'ulγu-yi de'ere Tenggeri γajar-un ihe'el medetügei. "[As to] the benefit of this thy service, let the protection of Heaven above and Earth [below] know (= decide) how I shall return the benefit unto the seed of thy seed." The word de'ere is glossed 上 (shang) "above," tenggeri, 天 (t'ien) "Heaven," γajar-un, 七 均 (ti-ti) "Earth of," and ihe'el,

In 氣力 (ch'i-li) "might" we have the earlier, alternate form of the more usual, present-day 力 氣 (li-ch'i). As a translation of the Mongolian güčü(n) (~ küčü(n)) "might" in the Mongyol-un niuča [= ni²uča] to[b] ča'an or The Secret History of the Mongols \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 260 cf. the Yüan-ch'ao pi-shih, Hsü-chi 續集 1.45r3: tenggeri yajar-a güčü nemegdejü "[his] might being increased by Heaven and Earth." The word tenggeri is glossed 天 (t'ien) ("Heaven"), yajar-a, 地 行 (ti hang) "Earth by," güčü, 氣力 (ch'i-li) ("might"), nemegdejü 液 添 着 (pei-t'ien-cho) "being increased."

In 天底 (Tien-ti) ("of Heaven") we have the determinative suffix 底 (ti) which alternates, as we have just seen above, with 白勺 (ti) in the language of this and comparable documents. Cf., e.g., Ed. Chavannes, "Inscriptions et pièces de chancellerie chinoises de l'époque mongole," where we read (p. 369, n. 2): "le mot 底 est l'équivalent de 白勺 moderne." For other examples of its use in this communication cf. 4r6, 4v6, 5r2, 5r5, 5r6, 5r7-8, 5r8-9, and 5v1 below. For the solitary example of 白勺 (ti) in the communication cf. 5r8 below. For the usage of this determinative suffix in Chinese cf. Lü Hsiang 日 湘, "Lun 'ti' 'ti' chih pien chi 'ti' tzu-ti yu-lai 論 「底」「地」之辨及「底」字的由來 ("Notes on the Etymology of the Particle 'te'"), 31 Kao Ming-k'ai 高名 凯, "Han-yü kuei-ting-tz'u 'ti' 漢語規定記 '白勺'" ("La particule déterminative ti en chinois"), 32 Jaroslav prûšek, "La fonction de la particule ti dans le chinois médiéval", 33 and P. Demiéville, "Archaismes de prononciation en chinois vulgaire." 34

As for the second of the three formulae, the text has 天道将来底言語